



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/801,812	02/14/1997	JOHN H. GIVENS	11675.106	6774
22901	7590	03/20/2006	EXAMINER	
GREGORY M. TAYLOR WORKMAN, NYDEGGER & SEELEY 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			MALDONADO, JULIO J	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2823		
DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	08/801,812	GIVENS, JOHN H.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Julio J. Maldonado	2823	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 January 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-6 and 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xu et al. (U.S. 5,847,461, hereinafter Xu '461) in view of Xu et al. (U.S. 6,217,721, hereinafter Xu '721) and Yim (U.S. 5,869,395).

Xu '461 (Figs.1-4) in a related method to form an interconnect teaches forming a recess (14) within a dielectric material (10) situated on a semiconductor substrate (2), wherein said recess (14) extends below a top surface (12) of said dielectric material (10); forming a diffusion barrier layer (20) comprising titanium nitride conformally on the top surface of the dielectric material (10) and over an interior surface of the recess (14); forming an electrically conductive layer (30) comprising aluminum on the barrier layer (20) and over the top surface of the dielectric material (10), wherein the diffusion barrier layer (20) has a melting point greater than that of the electrically conductive layer (30); forming an energy absorbing layer (40) on said electrically conductive layer (30), wherein said energy absorbing layer (40) has a greater thermal absorption capacity than that of said electrically conductive layer (30) and wherein said energy absorbing layer (40) is selected from the group consisting of titanium, tungsten, silicon dioxide and tantalum; using a furnace to apply energy omnidirectionally to said energy absorbing

layer (40) causing said electrically conductive layer (30) to flow within said recess (14); and patterning said interconnect (column 3, line 12 – column 7, line 45).

Xu '461 fail to teach the steps of heating the diffusion barrier layer in an environment substantially containing nitrogen gas; forming a seed layer comprising titanium nitride on the diffusion barrier layer and over the dielectric material, wherein the diffusion barrier layer has a melting point greater than or equal to the seed layer; forming an electrically conductive layer on the seed layer including the portion of the seed layer within said recess, wherein the seed layer has a melting point greater than or equal to that of the electrically conductive layer.

However, Xu '721 (Fig.8) in a related method to form an interconnect teaches the steps of heating a diffusion barrier layer (162) in an environment substantially containing nitrogen gas; forming a seed layer (164) made of a graded titanium nitride, wherein said graded nitride starts as titanium nitride and ends as relatively pure titanium and is formed on a diffusion barrier layer (164) and over a dielectric material layer (142), wherein the diffusion barrier layer (162) has a melting point greater than or equal to that of the seed layer (164); and forming an electrically conductive layer (156) on the seed layer (164) including the portion of the seed layer (164) within a recess (152), wherein the seed layer (164) has a melting point greater than or equal to that of the electrically conductive layer (156) (column 3, line 65 – column 6, line 45).

Although Xu '461 teaches adverse effects that could happen by using a tungsten seed layer (Xu '461, column 1, line 63 – column 2, line 27), Xu '461 is silent on the use

Art Unit: 2823

of other seed layers and thus is open to use the titanium nitride layer disclosed by Xu '721.

Also since said seed layer in Xu'721 is a composite of titanium nitride and titanium, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute titanium nitride layer 20 of Xu'461 with titanium nitride/titanium layer 164 of Xu'721, and use the titanium nitride part as a barrier layer and the titanium part as a seed layer, to arrive at the claimed invention. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to form a seed layer after the formation of the barrier layer and prior to the formation of the conductive layer, and having the thermal properties as taught by Xu '721 in the interconnect formation method of Xu '461, since heating the barrier layer in a nitrogen environment substantially reduces the electronic barrier at the metal-semiconductor interface (column 9, lines 39-45) and the addition of titanium nitride as a seed layer improves the flow of aluminum into an interconnect at moderate temperatures (column 6, lines 40-45).

Xu '461 in combination with Xu '721 substantially teach all aspects of the invention but fail to teach that the diffusion barrier layer and the seed layer are deposited on the recess by a chemical vapor deposition process; that a chemical-mechanical polishing is used to remove portions of the energy absorbing layer and the electrically conductive layer; that the recess has an aspect ratio greater than about four to one; and that the recess comprises a contact hole situated below a trench, wherein said semiconductor substrate has a lower substrate and terminates at an opposite end

Art Unit: 2823

thereof at said trench, and wherein said trench extends from said opposite end of said contact hole to a top surface of said dielectric material and parallel to the plane of the lower substrate.

However, Yim (Figs.2A-2K) in a related method to form an interconnect structure teaches the steps of depositing titanium nitride by a chemical vapor deposition process; using chemical-mechanical polishing to remove portions overlaying a damascene trench formed on a dielectric layer (210); providing a recess comprising a contact hole (260) situated below a trench (240); providing a semiconductor substrate (200) having a lower substrate (202) and terminating at an opposite end thereof at said trench (240), wherein said trench (240) extends from said opposite end of said contact hole (260) to a top surface of said dielectric material (210), and parallel to the plane of the lower substrate (202) (column 4, line 26 – column 7, line 31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to deposit titanium nitride by chemical vapor deposition, using chemical mechanical polish to remove portions of conductive material overlying the dielectric layer and forming a recess comprising a trench and a contact hole as taught by Yim in the interconnect method of Xu '461 and Xu '721, since this would result in a damascene opening with an alignment tolerance, reduced processing time and a flat topography (column 3, line 49 – column 4, line 5).

Still, the combination of Xu '461 Xu '721 and Yim fail to teach that the recess has an aspect ratio greater than about four to one. However, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been led to the claimed invention

through routine experimentation to achieve desired device dimensions and therefore desired device density and desired device characteristics on the finished wafer. Also, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice bounded by well known manufacturing constraints and ascertainable by routine experimentation and optimization to choose these particular dimensions because applicant has not disclosed that the dimensions are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical, and it appears *prima facie* that the process would possess utility using another dimension. Indeed, it has been held that mere dimensional limitations are *prima facie* obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, *In re Rose*, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); *In re Rinehart*, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); *Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc.*, 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); *In re Dailey*, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments filed 01/06/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue, "...Xu'461 teaches that seed layers are undesirable when filling small openings and is directed toward other methods of filling the contact layer...While Xu'461 focuses on the limitations of tungsten as a seed layer, its teaching that seed layers add 'further deposition and planarization steps, resulting in more cost, and less reliability' would apply to seed layers generally...". In response to this argument,

Xu'461 (column 4, lines 1 – 15), teaches "...Typically, when barrier layer 20 is used it will be formed to a thickness of at least about 150 Angstroms to provide the desired chemical barrier. However, since the barrier layer is usually formed of a material (such as TiN) which is not as conductive as the metal used to fill openings 14 and 16, the use of excessive thicknesses beyond the minimum amount needed to provide the desired chemical barrier is usually avoided. Typically, the thickness of the barrier layer will, therefore, usually not exceed about 300 Angstroms to provide sufficient remaining volume in the openings for the filling thereof with the electrically conductive metal filler material. The process parameters (i.e., temperature and pressure) used during the formation of barrier layer 20 may comprise any processing parameters conventionally used in such formation of barrier layers, as is well known to those skilled in the art...". Therefore, the thickness of the barrier layer inside the contact hole of the invention of Xu' 461 is between about 150 to 300 Å, or 15 – 30 nm. Xu' 461 fails to teach forming a seed layer. Taking this into consideration, Xu' 721 was relied on teaching forming a TiN barrier layer, and a TiN/Ti layer. Furthermore, Xu' 721 teaches wherein the thickness of the TiN barrier layer '162' has a minimum thickness of 10nm (Xu' 721, column 18, lines 43 – 59), and wherein the TiN/Ti layer '164' has a thickness of 6-10 nm for the titanium portion and 2-10 nm for the titanium portion (Xu' 721, column 18, line 60 – column 19, line 8). The combined thicknesses of the recited layers of Xu' 721 are within the thickness range of the critical thickness of 30 nm for "provide sufficient remaining volume in the openings for the filling thereof with the electrically conductive metal filler material" as recited by Xu' 461.

Applicants also argue, "...Applicant respectfully submits that the proposed combination of references fail to teach or suggest 'applying energy to the absorbing layer sufficient to cause the electrically conductive layer to flow within the recess' as recited in claim 1 of the presently claimed invention. Instead, Xu' 461 teaches that the structure should be heated 'to a minimum temperature at which plastic deformation of metal layer 30 occur, but lower than the melting temperature of metal layer 30...'. In response to this argument, Applicants assert that Xu' 461 teaches that the structure should be heated 'to a minimum temperature at which plastic deformation of metal layer 30 occur, but lower than the melting temperature of metal layer 30'. However, according to [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Main\\_Page](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Main_Page), a fluid is "a subset of the phases of matter, fluids include liquids, gases, plasmas and, to some extent, plastic solids. Fluids share the properties of not resisting deformation and the ability to flow. These properties are typically a function of their inability to support a shear stress in static equilibrium". Furthermore, "In engineering mechanics, deformation is a change in shape due to an applied force. This can be a result of tensile (pulling) forces, compressive (pushing) forces, shear, bending or torsion (twisting). Plastic deformation is defined as a type of deformation that is not reversible. Therefore, in regards to the metal layer 30 of Xu'461, at the moment of applying the 'minimum temperature' as recited, said metal layer has a fluid behavior.

Furthermore, Applicants argue, "...the aluminum layer 30 in Xu '461 does not extend within the recess...". In response to this argument, Xu '461 (column 4, lines 16 – 27) teaches "...FIG. 2 shows the structure of FIG. 1 after the formation thereover of

patternable metal layer 30, comprising a layer of compressively stressed metal which will subsequently be extruded down into openings 14 and 16, in accordance with the process of the invention, to completely fill openings 14 and 16 and to provide electrical connections between metal layer 30 and underlying integrated circuit structure 2. It will be noted that the initial formation of metal layer 30 does not result in a filling of openings 14 and 16, but as shown at 34 and 36, metal layer 30 does cover openings 14 and 16 and may extend downwardly slightly into the top of openings 14 and 16...". Therefore, Xu '461 teaches on the argued limitation.

***Conclusion***

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Applicants are encouraged, where appropriate, to check Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) (<http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair>) which provides

Art Unit: 2823

applicants direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Julio J. Maldonado whose telephone number is (571) 272-1864. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith, can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax number for this group is 571-273-8300. Updates can be found at

<http://www.uspto.gov/web/info/2800.htm>.

Julio J. Maldonado  
Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 2823

  
Julio J. Maldonado  
March 16, 2006

  
George Fourson  
Primary Examiner